

the action taken by them regarding the restoration of Uddandahalli Ayyanakere in Magadi taluk?

A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

Under consideration.

Tanks in Turuvekere Taluk. (Restoration).

Q.—692. Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA (Thuruvekere).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the number of tanks for the restoration of which estimates have been prepared in Turuvekere taluk;

(b) the names of such tanks;

(c) the extent of land coming under wet cultivation;

(d) to which of these, sanction has been accorded?

A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(a) 21 since 1951.

(b) to (d) *Vide* Statement below.

STATEMENT.

Sl. No.	Name of tank	Extent coming under wet cultivation, in acres	Remarks
1	Improving the capacity of Ramasagar tank.	76	
2	Restoring breached Kolala tank ...	100	
3	Improvements to Sarigehalli tank. ...	684	
4	Constructing new tank at Heggere ...	158	
5	Restoring breached Kanakur tank ...	115	
6	Ane across weirhalla of Tayilahalli Manikere.	45	
7	Increasing the capacity of Honakere tank.	29	
8	Increasing the capacity of Jadiya tank.	122	
9	Restoring Hulikere tank ...	19	
10	New tank near Nerlekatte ...	13	
11	Yeradahalli tank ...	95	
12	Restoration of Talekere tank ...	24	
13	Restoring Mavinakere tank ...	25	
14	Restoring Hanchihalli tank ...	15	
15	Increasing the capacity of Varahasandra tank.	58	
16	Increasing the capacity of Veerasagara tank.	205	
17	Constructing new tank near Doddamalligere.	99	
18	Improvements to tank channels of Nagalapura tank.	201	Restoration of these tanks are sanctioned.
19	Restoring Akkalasandra tank ...	43	
20	Increasing the capacity of Sampige tank.	270	
21	Restoring Jodi Hulisandra tank ...	16	

9-30 A.M.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—Yesterday, to one of my interpellations, the Chief Minister was pleased to promise that he would place a copy of his letter to the States Reorganisation Commission on the Table of this House. Has the copy been placed on the Table of the House, Sir?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—What I said was I will consider it. It would not be correct to say that I promised.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—This letter is a document of public importance. I request the Chief Minister to place it on the Table of the House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—He said that he would consider it.

ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS

Re. Fast undertaken by Sri Hanumanthe Gowda of Pandavapura.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri Gopala Gowda has tabled an adjournment motion. It will be taken up for discussion on its admissibility on Monday.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—What is the subject matter of the adjournment motion?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The adjournment motion reads as follows:—

“That the House do now adjourn to discuss the situation arising out of the fast undertaken by Sri T. Hanumanthe Gowda of Pandavapura since Thursday, the 13th October 1955 at the Jubilee Road Circle (near the Assembly Hall), Mysore, against the high handed and arbitrary action of Sri H. M. Channabasappa, the Industries Minister, in taking away the licence granted by the Central Government to the Pandavapura Multi-purpose Co-operative Society to start a Sugar Factory at Pandavapura.”

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—I am not questioning the ruling of the Chair, Sir. In view of the

urgency, is it not necessary that it should be taken today?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will give my ruling. It has been given so many times on similar occasions. I wanted to give an opportunity to members to discuss on the admissibility of this issue. . . .

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—Whether the adjournment motion is admissible or not—please allow the members to discuss and then the Chair can give its ruling.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Many times previously the Chair has given its rulings on such adjournment motions. I request the Hon'ble Members to go through those rulings. My ruling on this adjournment motion is: As Hon'ble Members are aware, the subject of fasts undertaken for whatever reason has been sought to be raised on an adjournment motion in the past in our Legislature and it has been uniformly held, following similar rulings in all other Legislatures, that it would not form a proper subject of an adjournment motion. The persons concerned have remedies under the ordinary process of law. I therefore hold that the motion is not in order.

Re. Ministers' Statement on States Reorganisation Commission Report.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now, the question of the admissibility of the adjournment motion tabled by Sri Imam may be taken up. I request the Hon'ble Member to be brief. We are only discussing the question of admissibility. As far as possible, I request the Hon'ble Member to avoid discussion on the merits of the issue.

***Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM** (Jagalur).—Sir, I am perfectly aware that we ought not to enter into the merits of the case. But I must relate to the Chair and to this House, the circumstances under which this motion has to be admitted. Sir, if I remember correctly, this is the first time that such a situation, the situation where the Ministers have thought it fit to